

Moraic weight, extraprosodic word-final consonants, and morphophonological length alternations in Hungarian

Stephen Grimes
 Indiana University
 stgrimes@indiana.edu
 ICSH7: May 29-31, 2005

Preliminaries

(1) In the rhyme of the Hungarian syllable, short and long vowels appear in all combinations with a following consonant, consonant cluster, or geminate consonant.

CV	ma	‘today’
CV:	fu	‘grass’
CVC	bor	‘wine’
CVC	kád	‘tub’
CVCC	kedd	‘Tuesday’
	sült	‘baked’
CV:CC	rótt	‘notched’
	múlt	‘last’

Siptár and Törkenczy (2000) note that syllables of the final type are always multimorphemic. However, Törkenczy (1994) notes that the low vowels ‘é’ and ‘á’ are in fact permitted in extra-heavy, monomorphemic syllables. Furthermore, there are only two examples of monosyllables of the type CV – *fa* ‘tree’ and *ma* ‘today’.

Evidence that moraic weight is relevant to syllable structure in Hungarian is shown by the fact that compensatory lengthening preserves the mora. In the majority of Hungarian dialects, including the standard dialect, /l/, /r/, /n/, and /j/ may be deleted if they appear as the first element in a word-final coda cluster (Imre, 1972, Kenesei et al., 1998).

(2)		<u>Standard</u>	<u>Colloquial</u>
	zöld ‘green’	[zöld]	[zö:d]
	küld ‘send’	[küld]	[kü:d]
	kulcs ‘key’	[kulc]	[ku:c]
	nyelted ‘swallowed-2S’	[Nelted]	[Ne:ted]

(3) Other possible weight effects in Hungarian verb system

<u>3rd Singular / ”stem”</u>	<u>Infinitive</u>	<u>2nd singular</u>	<u>Gloss</u>
lát	látni	látsz	see
fút	fútni	fútsz	run
sóhajt	sóhajtani	sóhajtsz/sóhajtasz	sigh
áll	állni	állsz/állasz	stand

allít	allítani	állítasz	adjust
ránt	rántani	rántasz	pull
hord	hordani	hordasz	wear
ront	rontani	rontasz	worsen

(4) Vago's (1989) proposed syllable and moraic structure

s	s	s
μμμ	μμμ	μ μ μ
š ü l t	k o d	f ü g g
<i>siült</i>	<i>kód</i>	<i>függ</i>
'baked'	'code'	'hang'

(5) Mora sharing in words with long vowel ending in a consonant cluster or geminate (Vago 1989)

s	s	(Vago 1989)
μμμ	μμμ	
r o t t	m u l t	
<i>rótt</i>	<i>múlt</i>	
'notched'	'last'	

(6) Issues with this syllable representation:

- Syllables containing a word-final consonant cluster are trimoraic regardless of whether the vowel is long or short – hence an important length distinction is lost in the representation.
- Coda consonants are given differing treatments based on the length of the vowel, although we know that length is not a significant factor in the combinatorial phonotactics of Hungarian.
- These proposals do not align with phonetic studies on syllable durations.

Alternate Representation: Extraprosodic word-final consonants

(7) Trimoraic monosyllables under Vago's analysis are now bimoraic.

a.	s	b.	s	c.	s
	μ μ		μ μ		μ μ
	š ü l «t»		k o «d»		f ü g
	<i>siült</i>		<i>kód</i>		<i>függ</i>
	'baked'		'code'		'hang'

(8) Mora sharing is no longer required – compare with (5).

s	s
μμμ	μμμ
r o t «t»	m u l «t»
<i>rótt</i>	<i>múlt</i>
'notched'	'last'

(9) Summary of predictions

	<u>After short vowel</u>	<u>After long vowel</u>	(after Ham, 2001)
a.	μ μ μ V C C	= μμ μ V: C C	Mora Sharing
b.	μ μ V C «C»	< μμ μ V: C «C»	Extraprosodic

Evidence for extraprosodic consonants

(10) Allows for a unified treatment of geminate consonants.

Magdics (1969) found that the quantity of the preceding vowel has virtually no effect on the duration of the following consonant. Ham (2001: 152, 195) finds that final consonant cluster duration is the same following long and short vowels.

The table in (11) summarizes Ham's findings.

(11)	Vowel	Stop Closure	Total	#moras	
	VC	148ms	106ms	254ms	μ
	V:C	241ms	106ms	347ms	μμ
	VCC	142ms	170ms	312ms	μμ
	VC:	134ms	202ms	336ms	μμ
	V:CC	217ms	170ms	387ms	μμμ
	V:C:	202ms	195ms	397ms	μμμ

Nádasdy (1985) also gives data to support word-final extrametricality.

(12)	a. Light	b. Heavy	c. Heavy	d. Superheavy
	s	s	s	s
	μ	μμ	μμ	μμμ
	šok	šok	a l	a l
	sok	sokk	ál	áll
	'many'	'shock'	'spurious'	'stand'

(13) Kerek (1971) gives further support to the idea of final consonant extrametricality.

- Primary stress in Hungarian always falls on the first syllable.
- Secondary stress – third or fourth syllable?

According to Kerek, word-internal CVC, CVCC, CV:C, and CV:CC syllables pattern as heavy, stress attracting syllables, while only CV syllables pattern as light (no indication is given for CV: syllables). However, word-finally, both CV and CVC are treated as light.

Maximal Syllables

Does Hungarian have syllables with two moraic consonants?
 (restated) Does Hungarian have CCC (tri-consonantal) clusters?

- (14) *zöldbab* ‘green bean’
centrum ‘center’

Under the assumption that the weight-by-position constraint (Hayes, 1989) is active in Hungarian, the first syllable of the words in (14) contains three moras, while the first syllable of the words in (15) contain four moras.

- (15) *múltban* ‘in the past’ [multban] (Olaszy et al., 2004)
bájtban ‘byte-inessive’
hársban ‘lime-inessive’

Minimal Syllables and Minimal Words

(16) Hungarian /v/ stems: Note vowel length alternations

<u>Nom.</u>	<u>Dative</u>	<u>Plural</u>	<u>Gloss</u>
ló	lónak	lovak	‘horse’
fu	funek	füvek	‘grass’
lé	lének	levek	‘liquid’
nyu	nyu	nyüvek	‘maggot’

- (17)

<u>Nom.</u>	<u>Dative</u>	<u>Plural</u>	<u>Gloss</u>
szív	szívnek	szívek	heart
só	sónek	sók	salt

(18) Vago’s (1989) analysis

s s
 μ μ > μ μ
 l o C l o
 /loC/ --> [lɔ:]

- (19) **MINWD**: A content word must be at least bimoraic.
 (cf. Hayes, 1995, Selkirk, 1980, Siptár and Törkenczy, 2000)

(20)	Nominative	Dative	Vowel-initial	Gloss
	mu	munek	muv-e	'creation'
	szú	szúnak	szúv-as	'woodworm'
	bu	bunek	buv-ös	'magic'

How active is the minimal word condition?

Under the assumption that word-final consonants are extraprosodic, monosyllabic CV words *and* CVC content words are considered too short.

To test this hypothesis, I consulted the Hungarian reverse-alphabetized dictionary (Papp, 1969) to find what percentage of monosyllabic words ending some phonemes obeyed the minimal word condition. The results are in (21).

(21)	<u>Final Stem Consonant</u>	<u>1 μ</u>	<u>2+ μ</u>
	b	14	13
	c	2	49
	cs	7	35
	d	10	56
	f	2	26
	g	18	55
	k	21	67
	l	27	40
	m	12	25
	n	18	27
	p	11	42
	r	19	59
	s	12	34

There appears to be a preference for long vowels in monosyllabic words that appears to be explained by appealing to a minimal word condition.

Topics for further research

Phonological questions discussed in this talk need to drive phonetic research. To what extent does the presence of a word-final consonant really affect the weight analysis of the final syllable? For example,

- (a) In the dialects of Hungarian in which high vowels vacillate in length word finally (cf. Nádasy and Siptár, 1989), does the presence of final consonant affect this vacillation?
- (b) Is the phonotactic restriction that all round mid-vowels be long word-finally extend to a constraint that round mid-vowels be long if they appear in the final syllable?

The organization of the syllable cannot be discussed without noting the exceptional behavior of the low vowels¹. Specifically, (1), (2), and (21) above suggest that the “minor” vowels of Hungarian outside the fourteen vowel system – long correlates of *e* and *a* and short correlates to *é* and *á* – may play a role in the phonology of some dialects.

Acknowledgements

I appreciate the comments of professors George Fowler, Stuart Davis, and Csaba Pléh. For their support at Indiana University, I thank the College of Arts and Sciences, the Department of Central Eurasian Studies, and the Linguistics Club.

References

- Ham, William H. 2001. *Phonetic and Phonological Aspects of Geminate Timing: Outstanding Dissertations in Linguistics*. New York: Routledge.
- Hayes, Bruce. 1989. Compensatory lengthening in moraic phonology. *Linguistic Inquiry* 20:253-306.
- Hayes, Bruce. 1995. *Metrical Stress Theory: Principles and Case Studies*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Imre, S. 1972. Hungarian Dialects. In *The Hungarian Language*, eds. L. Benkő and S. Imre. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.
- Kenesei, István, Vago, Robert M., and Fenyvesi, Anna. 1998. *Hungarian: Descriptive Grammars*. New York: Routledge.
- Kerek, A. 1971. *Hungarian metrics: Some linguistic aspects of iambic verse*. vol. 117: Uralic and Altaic Series. The Hague: Mouton.
- Magdics, K. 1969. *Studies in the acoustic characteristics of Hungarian speech sounds*. vol. 97: Uralic and Altaic Series 97. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Nádasdy, Ádám, and Siptár, Péter. 1989. Issues in Hungarian Phonology: Preliminary Queries to a New Project. *Acta Linguistica Hungarica* 39.
- Nádasdy, Ádám. 1985. Segmental Phonology and Morphology. In *Approaches to Hungarian I*, ed. István Kenesei. Szeged: JATE.
- Olaszy, Gábor, Kálmán, Abari, Géza, Kiss, and Csaba, Zainkó. 2004. Adatbázis a magyar szavak hangidőtartam-képeinek bemutatására.
- Papp, Ferenc. 1969. *A Magyar Nyelv Szóvégműtató Szótára [Reverse-Alphabetized Dictionary of the Hungarian Language]*. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.
- Selkirk, Elisabeth. 1980. The role of prosodic categories in English word stress. *Linguistic Inquiry* 11:563-605.
- Siptár, Péter, and Törkenczy, Miklos. 2000. *The phonology of Hungarian*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Törkenczy, Miklos. 1994. A szótag. In *Strukturális magyar nyelvtan, 2. kötet: Fonológia*, ed. Ferenc Kiefer, 272-392. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.
- Vago, Robert M. 1989. Empty consonants in the moraic phonology of Hungarian. *Acta Linguistica Hungarica* 39:293-316.

¹ In addition to the low vowels, other phonotactic regularities and peculiarities in the distribution of the mid-vowels (at the end of the word) and vacillating length observed in the high vowels suggest that a review of vowel length distinction in Hungarian is in order.